The meeting of the Winter Park City Commission was called to order by Mayor David Strong at 3:30 p.m. in the Commission Chambers, 401 Park Avenue South, Winter Park, Florida.

The invocation was given by Pastor Stan Reinemund of Redeemer Lutheran Church, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

Members present:
Mayor David Strong
Commissioner Margie Bridges
Commissioner Phil Anderson
Commissioner Beth Dillaha
Commissioner Karen Diebel

Also present:
City Manager Randy Knight
City Attorney Trippe Cheek
City Clerk Cynthia Bonham
Deputy City Clerk Nancy McLean

Citizen Budget Comments and Requests:

There were no budget comments made.

Mayor’s Report:

a) Presentation of the Best of Show from the 2008 Winter Park Art Festival.

Doni Young and Tom Sacca presented the City with the Best of Show from the 2008 Winter Park Art Festival.

Non Agenda Item

Mayor Strong addressed the need for a Commissioner to volunteer for the Water Summit meeting being held the end of September. He stated they will discuss this at the next meeting when the Commissioners have a chance to review that agenda. He suggested that Utilities Director Dave Zusi attend if a Commissioner cannot. City Manager Knight agreed.

Action Items:

a) Approve the minutes of 7/14/08.
b) Setting the tentative millage rate.
c) Brookshire New School Alternative Report Update.
d) Library parking issue.
e) Scheduling of future work sessions.

The following action items were pulled for discussion: Items a, b, c, d and e.

Action Item a): Approve the minutes of 7/14/08.

Commissioner Anderson commented that on page 11-12 regarding the motion on self insurance versus the fully insured plan his recollection was that in the middle of the voting they decided to postpone the vote. He stated the minutes reflected the motion failed with a 3-2 vote. He believed that was not accurate and they decided to defer the vote on that item. City Manager Knight’s recollection was they approved plan #6 but not the fully funded or self funded issue. Mayor Strong asked the minutes be corrected to reflect that.
Motion made by Commissioner Anderson to approve the minutes as amended; seconded by Commissioner Diebel. The motion carried unanimously with a 5-0 vote.

Action Item b): Setting the tentative millage rate.

City Manager Knight explained that the Commission must adopt and submit the tentative millage rate to the Property Appraiser which will be used in preparing the TRIM notices to all property owners in August 2008. He stated that staff’s recommendation was to adopt an operating millage rate of 4.0923 mills. He addressed having this discussion during their work session earlier today. City Manager Knight answered questions.

Motion made by Commissioner Anderson to approve the City’s recommendation for 4.0923; seconded by Mayor Strong for discussion.

Commissioner Anderson commented that this is the millage rate that is allowable under Amendment I that passed and represents the intent of the Legislature. He stated it is the rate that would allow us to begin building up a very modest reserve and believed they are setting the maximum rate that they can apply subject to reduction by the time they approve the budget. He commented that they are setting the maximum rate and it can go down or they can choose to hold it at this rate.

City Manager Knight announced that they also need to adopt the two debt service millage rates. Commissioner Anderson amended his motion to include the other two rates; seconded by Mayor Strong. Commissioner Bridges commented that she could not support this at this time. Commissioner Dillaha addressed understanding the need for building the reserves but questioned if we should raise the millage to increase the reserves or cut back on expenditures and keep the millage rate the same. She addressed being hesitant to increase the millage rate because of not knowing if they should do that to increase reserves. She expressed that she was leaning towards keeping the millage rate at 3.995.

Commissioner Diebel commented that the work session was very productive and advocated sustaining the millage at 3.995. She stated they have the ability to reduce the budget to meet the obligations and not further burden the taxpayers. She addressed having a good year rebuilding the reserves with the sale of some assets and shifting the obligations from the Water and Sewer Fund and relieving the General Fund. She believed that this is the year the Commission needs to be very deliberate in holding the budget firm and decreasing it.

Mayor Strong supported Commissioner Anderson's motion but was also supportive of ultimately getting to the 3.995 millage rate. He believed they should leave themselves that small flexibility between now and the end of September before final adoption.

Upon a roll call vote, Commissioners Bridges, Dillaha, and Diebel voted no. Mayor Strong and Commissioners Anderson voted yes. The motion failed with a 3-2 vote.

Motion made by Commissioner Diebel to adopt the millage rate of the current 3.995 and the corresponding debt obligations that exist today; seconded by Commissioner Bridges. Upon a roll call vote, Commissioners Bridges, Dillaha, and Diebel voted yes. Mayor Strong and Commissioners Anderson voted no. The motion carried with a 3-2 vote.
**Action Item c): Brookshire New School Alternative Report Update.**

Jana Ricci, formerly housed at Brookshire Elementary as a consultant, commented that they have been working as a task force for the past two years on the ideas for Brookshire Elementary School. She gave a brief presentation on the Brookshire Elementary School Enhancement and spoke about the Task Force mission, accomplishments and recommendation; new considerations; and the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. She commented that she hoped they will all be in agreement in the end to engage Orange County Public Schools (OCPS), build a new school that is safe and to increase park space for Winter Park.

ZHA Representative Myra Monreal presented their findings. She spoke about the site alternatives, the hybrid plan, public input and process, traffic and circulation, the functional use and land use of the acreage, the existing Brookshire site with the three scenarios of review: 1) on-site with multi-purpose field (no lots); 2) on-site with seven lots; and 3) on-site with re-aligned Cady Way (no lots), feedback and concerns, site alternatives cost analysis, funding sources, the funding gap, sources and uses, and new considerations.

Principal Jeremy Moore, Brookshire Elementary School, spoke about the number one issue of student safety. He stated the safest option would be a new Brookshire with one entry point while a renovated Brookshire would have multiple entry points that would not be as safe as a new school. He stated if Brookshire is renovated, the portable classrooms will remain in comparison to the classrooms at the new Lakemont School and that new classrooms are more advantageous than portables. He also spoke about field space as a non-issue and the impact on students if a renovation was to occur. Mr. Moore and Ms. Monreal answered questions.

Mayor Strong commented that ZHA recommended pursuing the Memorandum of Understanding and part of that would be pursuing the Federal Department of Interior and to pursue the sale of lots if they choose to. He asked if there would be an additional fee if she did more work with the task force or the City. Ms. Monreal replied affirmatively. She stated that they tried to lay out the groundwork for staff and the government deed requires a survey and appraisal which her firm does not do. She stated that the City has not formally submitted a response to the Department of the Interior because they would have to do those two things in triplicate and they wanted to make sure the Commission was behind them before they do that.

Andrew Verand, 1799 Grinch Avenue, former Brookshire student, believed they need a new school with more safety.

John Hornbeck, 624 Brechin Drive, commented that this proposal has many merits. He also spoke about traffic, parking, mold and security being an issue. He added that Brookshire deserves a new school.

Ruth Behrle, 1035 Golfside Drive, spoke about the security issues at Brookshire and hoped the Commission would consider a new school.

Toni Koropsak, 200 St. Andrews Boulevard, believed that moving the school into the park adjacent to the rear of her condominium complex (Four Seasons) would negatively impact them. She asked the Commission to leave Brookshire in its present location.

Ellen Hein, 200 St. Andrews Boulevard, asked the Commission to think about the people who live at the Four Seasons and opposed co-locating the school with the park.
Kristen Buntz, 200 St. Andrews Boulevard, had concerns about trusting OCPS on this plan and expressed that the park is greatly occupied. She was also concerned about the money issue and believed their taxes would be affected by this if they make this choice.

Donald Doyle, 1000 Golfside Drive, had concerns about the costs and saw them going into debt to build this school. He stated that moving it was not a good option for Winter Park.

Donna Law, 200 St. Andrews Boulevard, opposed relocating Brookshire. She stated basic City services are suffering and this is not the time to take on a new project but to concentrate on maintaining what we have.

Lisa Palmisano, 2228 Woodcrest Drive, believed that it could be detrimental to the property values and to the future of that community if Brookshire does not get a new school.

Tarrant Buntz, 200 St. Andrews Boulevard, spoke about corresponding with Federal Lands Parks Program Manager Bill Huie who was surprised that this was brought up without being contacted. He voiced his concerns and expressed favoring a new school at its present location.

Susan Varan, 1799 Greenwich Avenue, spoke in favor of a new school and voiced concerns on the safety and security issues.

Joe Terranova, 700 Melrose Avenue, expressed that a new facility would be better than a renovated one and that the problems with the neighbors could be solved.

Ms. Ricci explained that the two buildings that could not be demolished were due to State regulations and was not an OCPS issue. She stated that if another body were to acquire the land they could demolish it. She commented that in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan it now states that Winter Park will have a deficit of open park space and has an excess of ball fields. She asked about acquiring the 3.3 extra acres (taking the lots out of the mix) and adding that to the park space adjacent to the existing park space for one big park.

Commissioner Dillaha asked if any renovated schools were looked at. Ms. Ricci stated she went to Killarney and explained what happens between a new versus a renovated school. She stated that the Fixtures, Furniture and Equipment (FF&E) dollars provide new “everything” where a renovation does not. Commissioner Dillaha commented that she spoke with John Chamberlin, OCPS Director of Planning and Design, who clarified that a new or renovated school would be safe and is their number one issue. She stated that he also told her that the FF&E dollars would be exactly the same. Ms. Ricci clarified that they as a task force received different information. Principal Moore added that he also spoke with John Chamberlin and even though that is their goal they do not have to meet that standard, portables will remain and they will not have the same equipment as other schools.

Commissioner Dillaha addressed the school not being the City’s core mission and had concerns with spending more money or increasing taxes. She was not in favor of moving the school to another location and explained her reasons why. She commented that she was comfortable with OCPS renovating the school. She stated that other potential funding sources should be looked at as opposed to having our taxpayers fund up to a $3-4 million deficit.

Commissioner Bridges expressed that Commissioner Dillaha articulated some of her concerns. She stated that the entire project falls into the realm of Orange County School Board. She stated
that she has spoken to Orange County School Board member Joie Cadle and has been assured that the remodeled school is as good with the FF&E funds. She commented that those funds will provide our children with the same types of facilities that a new school provides. She added that she would like to see a new school but did not believe it is the responsibility or the ability of this Commission to provide that for our residents in these economic times.

Commissioner Diebel liked the plan and the mission and tried to think of a way to create a development zone or a financial way to expand this idea because it is an infrastructure and neighborhood need and would be an asset to the City. She wanted to explore this the same way they developed the CRA and to see if there is something they can do financially to support this project where the tax dollars that go to Orange County can be redirected back to this specific project.

Commissioner Anderson commented that he would like to see a new school but did not believe it belongs in the park. He addressed his preference of spending funds that aids them in their strategic plans on annexation, keeping their park standard in place and allowing the City to grow. He agreed with the idea of a special taxing district but that they needed to resolve where it should be located today because that will give clarity and direction for the next step.

Mayor Strong commented about what needs to happen for his support and the hybrid plan that was presented which concludes that: 1) it improves the traffic flow and decreases the speed on Cady Way; and 2) they get a new school instead of a renovated school which he agreed is better. He also stated he is supportive of this plan because it increases park space. He expressed concerns about this costing the taxpayer and they need to explore the alternatives without spending more money, including consultants and if questions can be answered without spending City money right now through the task force or volunteer efforts, he would like to continue that process.

Commissioner Dillaha agreed with Commissioner Anderson about deciding on the location of the school whether it is renovated or built new. There was further discussion on the pros and cons of the location of the school, building a new school versus a renovated one and the funding sources.

Motion made by Commissioner Dillaha to keep the school where it is, whether it is renovated or new as opposed to putting it across the street and into the park; seconded by Commissioner Bridges. Commissioner Bridges amended the motion to include that they explore other avenues for funding to enhance that location. Commissioner Dillaha accepted the amendment. Upon a roll call vote, Commissioners Bridges, Anderson, and Dillaha voted yes. Mayor Strong and Commissioner Diebel voted no. The motion carried with a 3-2 vote.

Mayor Strong asked how they would like to explore providing funds to build a new school. Commissioner Anderson asked if staff would have the expertise to evaluate funding options. City Manager Knight agreed that was possible. Commissioner Dillaha asked about the timeline. Ms. Ricci responded that June 2008 was always the target. Commissioner Bridges asked Attorney Cheek about the legalities of seeking a special taxing district. Attorney Cheek commented that they need to fully research this. She also asked who would be appropriate to talk with the School Board and discuss exploring other options. Commissioner Anderson volunteered to work with the task force on trying to find a solution. Mayor Strong commented that they have a way to proceed, Attorney Cheek will explore the legal options and they will continue this discussion at a later time.
Action Item d): Library parking issue.

Planning Director Jeff Briggs explained that ZHA, the Library and City staff has advised against following the zoning code requirements for parking needed for the Library expansion. He stated that Library Director Bob Melanson determined the need to be about 70 new spaces and the zoning code would require 120. He added the consultant scope involved interviews with Mr. Melanson to determine the Library’s operational characteristics and with John Anderson of the JW Marriott project to determine the hotel operational characteristics to determine the real need for new parking. It will also look at whether the hotel parking garage (or not) can be used for some of the need and to cost out the parking expansion solutions. He commented that Walker is strictly a parking consultant firm who can help them determine the right number and the associated costs. He added that staff recommends the scope and the contract and there are sufficient funds within the current year’s planning budget to fund this study. Mr. Briggs answered questions.

Mayor Strong commented that he hoped the cost would be significantly less. He spoke against using consultants but in this instance was worth it and would support it because the funds were available in this year’s budget. Commissioner Diebel agreed. Commissioner Anderson commented that he would support this and believed that having appropriate parking at the Library would enhance its value.

Motion made by Commissioner Bridges to approve the scope and contract with Walker Parking Consultants to assess library parking needs and estimate costs; seconded by Commissioner Anderson. The motion carried unanimously with a 5-0 vote.

Action Item e): Scheduling of future work sessions.

City Manager Knight explained that work sessions were previously being held on the third Monday of the month and they were on an as-needed basis and as Commissioner’s schedules permitted. He commented that it was suggested at the last meeting that they look at having regular scheduled work sessions. Staff recommended the work sessions be after lunch on the Mondays between the regular Commission meetings. He added that topics for the agenda should be predetermined at the regular Commission meeting to be placed on a future work session agenda and these should be structured items for discussion.

Mayor Strong commented that they will need work sessions in the near future. Commissioner Diebel agreed with scheduling them on an as needed basis and as they deem a subject to be appropriate for a work session. There was further discussion on scheduling of work sessions and the appropriate day to have them.

Mayor Strong suggested they consider a 2:00 p.m. work session before every Commission meeting and (tentatively) schedule as-needed meetings for late in the day on alternative Mondays. City Manager Knight agreed. Commissioner Diebel suggested they decide that from meeting to meeting because it was important to be specific about the agenda for it to be efficient. City Manager Knight addressed that they have a Comprehensive Plan work session at 10:00 a.m. on August 11, the CRA Agency meeting at 2:00 p.m. and the regular meeting at 3:30 p.m. Upon discussion, other future work sessions were scheduled as follows: 1) August 11, Health insurance at 1:00 p.m.; 2) August 18, Budget at 3:30 p.m.; 3) August 25, Planning the Possibilities at 2:00 p.m.; and 4) September 15, Survey at 3:30 p.m.
Commissioner Diebel commented that she would be comfortable giving the City Manager direction based on their work session regarding Commissioner Dillaha’s benefit related information as the primary goal to bring back to them for the budget meeting. Mayor Strong asked for a list of cuts of $400,000 and $2,000,000.

Commissioner Diebel also commented that the survey needs to be very specific and they need to measure what the citizens are concerned about. She wanted a large portion of the survey to support or decipher the priorities on the Planning the Possibilities initiative. Commissioner Dillaha believed that the best way to present this information to the citizens is in town meeting format that could be about Planning the Possibilities where they were presented the options and citizens can ask questions. Mayor Strong commented he was comfortable with that and maybe they could schedule a town meeting in early September in the evening sometime.

Mayor Strong recommended that each Commissioner present the consultant with what they think are important to be asked prior to this work session. He commented that maybe they can eliminate a lot of discussion at the work session. Commissioner Dillaha suggested they meet as a group first and have more cohesion with where they are going. Mayor Strong suggested each Commissioner create an outline for the other Commissioners. Attorney Cheek suggested the Commissioners do this and give it to the City Manager and let him collate and interact with the Commissioners. Mayor Strong commented they do not have to decide today.

**Public Hearings:**

a) ORDINANCE NO. 2743-08: AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF WINTER PARK, FLORIDA RELATING TO BUILDINGS; AMENDING CHAPTER 22 TO AMEND A CITY FIRE SPRINKLER AMENDMENT TO THE FLORIDA BUILDING CODE FOR UNIFORMITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE. Second Reading

Attorney Cheek read the ordinance by title. No public comments were made.

**Motion made by Commissioner Anderson to adopt the ordinance, seconded by Commissioner Dillaha. Upon a roll call vote, Mayor Strong and Commissioners Bridges, Anderson, Dillaha and Diebel voted yes. The motion carried unanimously with a 5-0 vote.**

b) AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF WINTER PARK, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 58 “LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE” ARTICLE III, “ZONING” AND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP SO AS TO CHANGE THE EXISTING LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (R-2) ZONING TO LIMITED COMMERCIAL (C-3A) DISTRICT ZONING ON THE PROPERTY AT 421 W. MORSE BOULEVARD, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED HEREIN. First Reading

Attorney Cheek read the ordinance by title. Planning Director Jeff Briggs explained this is the last property in this block that has not been rezoned as part of the CRA efforts. In 1995, when they did the CRA Plan (1994) they changed the Comprehensive Plan and put a red color (commercial future land use) which implied C-3 or C-3A zoning, although over the years they were persuaded to upgrade that to C-2 even in cases where they did not use it. He stated they originally advertised C-3 that would permit 3 story buildings, maybe even higher, but that would be out of character for the area and they would not want anything more than a 2 story building. He commented that the P&Z recommendation was a change from what was originally C-3 to C-3A the only difference being the 2 story height limit. He stated that was acceptable to the property
owner and in their plans they would not envision anything larger than 2 stories. He added that it conforms to the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Briggs answered questions.

Lurline Fletcher, 790 Lyman Avenue, opposed the zoning going from R-2 to C-3A.

Motion made by Commissioner Dillaha to accept the ordinance on first reading, seconded by Commissioner Bridges. Commissioner Bridges sympathized with Ms. Fletcher who spoke to the fact that it has rezoned from residential. Mayor Strong was encouraged that they still have approvals at this Commission for whatever is proposed on that and hopefully they can do something that is beneficial to the entire City and the CRA. Upon a roll call vote, Mayor Strong and Commissioners Bridges, Anderson, Dillaha and Diebel voted yes. The motion carried unanimously with a 5-0 vote.

c) RESOLUTION NO. 2011-08: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF WINTER PARK, FLORIDA, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 1986-06; INCREASING THE BOARD MEMBERSHIP ON THE ETHICS BOARD BY THE ADDITION OF AN ALTERNATE MEMBER; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Attorney Cheek read the resolution by title.

Motion made by Commissioner Diebel to adopt the resolution, seconded by Commissioner Bridges. Mayor Strong nominated Pitt Warner as the alternate. Commissioner Diebel asked to see his application.

Barry Greenstein, 2348 Summerfield Road, commented about two candidates for consideration that would create the type of diversity that they seek. He asked the Commission to wait until they receive their applications. Mayor Strong commented that he made the nomination based on the information available to him but if the Commission wants to defer action he would agree. Motion made by Commissioner Diebel to support the nomination; seconded by Commissioner Anderson.

Commissioner Dillaha preferred to wait for the other applications since this might create diversity on the board. Mayor Strong commented that he wants diversity and has had no luck for six months. He addressed having an annual opportunity to increase diversity and that the ability to have a quorum is more important today than to have the diversity that they all would like. Mr. Greenstein commented that he requested this reconsideration at the last meeting and people have been sought and have been sent applications. Mayor Strong commented that they had six individuals that expressed an interest to serve the City for a long time and he appreciates someone who volunteers to serve without being convinced. He thought all of the candidates that submitted their applications were qualified but there happens to be one that was more diverse than some of the other people.

Upon a roll call vote, Mayor Strong and Commissioners Bridges, Anderson and Diebel voted yes. Commissioner Dillaha voted no. The motion carried with a 4-1 vote.

Attorney Cheek read the resolution by title. Communications Director Clarissa Howard explained the intent of the resolution and answered questions. No public comments were made.

**Motion made by Commissioner Diebel to adopt the resolution, seconded by Commissioner Bridges. Upon a roll call vote, Mayor Strong and Commissioners Bridges, Anderson, Dillaha and Diebel voted yes. The motion carried unanimously with a 5-0 vote.**

**City Attorney’s Report:**

1. Attorney Cheek reported that the Water Plant sale closing is scheduled to happen by the middle of August. He stated the documents are almost complete and they are going to be prepared for the Mayor’s signature. He commented that he would meet with the Mayor to work that out.

2. Mayor Strong addressed the Lake Bell issue. His conclusion from that meeting was that the City has limited, if no ability, to impact what is planned now in terms of standing. Attorney Cheek commented that the City does not have the ability to control what happens and does not have a legal role in the process as it stands in terms of the control but believed the City has had some influence in the ways things have gone. City Manager Knight explained that the resolution adopted by the City has been forwarded to the Orange County Commission and have requested that they take action. He stated we will keep checking the Orange County agenda to make sure something is not on there for approval that we are not there to speak to.

Commissioner Dillaha spoke about having an interlocal agreement with Orange County that the City be a party to any discussions regarding motorized access or use. Attorney Cheek stated that would work but if they look at the equity of the situation and why Orange County was given the power by the School Board at the time it only makes sense that the City now steps into that role. He stated he has discussed this with the representatives of the County and the School Board and no one seems to disagree with that but getting to an interlocal agreement would take the same sort of impetus from the County’s side that getting it on the agenda would. He commented that unless one of their Commissioners is interested to pushing that to happen it probably will not occur. Mayor Strong stated they need to monitor this very closely.

**Non-Action Items:**

a) **City Manager’s Report**

City Manager Knight provided updates on commuter rail, Lot B, Way finding signage and reminded the Commission of the Comprehensive Plan work session tomorrow morning at 9:30 a.m. He also provided additional information regarding the Automated Meter Reading (AMR) contract and answered questions.

Commissioner Diebel spoke about the YMCA property and believed this would be a contentious issue. She asked City Manager Knight if they could structure a meeting or have a feedback mechanism to help improve communication. She addressed the importance that the facts are out there correctly. She commented about an email that they all received about a resident wanting confirmation of the facts and suggested having communication on the City website that clarifies everything. Commissioner Dillaha commented that the City Manager wrote a detailed response to that resident and outlined the entire process to be followed for a rezoning request.
Planning Director Jeff Briggs explained that they could mail an update to the people who live around that area. Commissioner Diebel agreed or to place it on the website with a list of pending items that may become an issue in nine months. Mr. Briggs commented that he would do a mailing to the affected parties. Mayor Strong commented that Mr. Knight’s letter said it all. Commissioner Bridges suggested putting it on the website for those who want to read it for themselves.

b) Presentation of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Mr. Holland explained that they began the process in the middle of 2006 and this was a draft presentation. Project Manager Sharon Eichler and Project Planner Amanda Warner, representing Wade Trim, presented the Master Plan Process and reviewed the completed tasks. This included the mission statement, inventory of existing facilities, existing conditions assessment, needs assessment, recreation standards, funding opportunities, recommendations, the capital improvement program, master plan compilation and the executive summary. Ms. Warner and Parks and Recreation Director John Holland answered questions posed by the Commission.

Mayor Strong commented that the report addresses a lot of questions but he was not sure they answered them specifically for the City of Winter Park because it is based on the State standard rather than our City use. He commented that he would like the Parks Advisory Board to look at: 1) if they agree we have some deficits and surpluses for these various areas and to identify the multi-purpose deficit and determine if there are other surpluses that can be eliminated, like soft ball fields (he stated that this is not based on the standard but based on the utilization of those fields); and 2) to consider (particularly mini parks and smaller parks) whether or not they think it is a good idea to encourage private sponsorship. He asked for their feedback.

Commissioner Dillaha suggested that Mr. Holland and the Parks and Recreation Department recommend what we should be doing and to prioritize. She asked if they could find out how many Winter Park residents use Fleet Peeples Park versus non-residents. Mr. Holland responded they could do a zip code survey. She also asked when the information regarding guidelines and a fee schedule for that park will be available. Mr. Holland commented they have received feedback from the Friends of Fleet Peeples with our memorandum of agreement and there is still some discussion but will try and put it together. He added that they currently have a fee structure for the rental of pavilions and have looked at three or four different systems to see which one will function best to control access to the park.

Commissioner Dillaha mentioned that we need to generate revenue for parks and should review the uses at Lake Island. She asked if we should look at it again or have the Parks Board discuss it. Mr. Holland responded that the stipulation with the Parks and Recreation Board was that it was for public entertainment only and could not sell tickets or have a gated admission. He suggested that if the City wants to partner with some groups and have sponsored events there is the potential of making some income sharing the gate with them.

Commissioner Anderson addressed these being FDEP guidelines and they have not been able to filter how much of it is applied to Winter Park. Ms. Warner responded that with the statewide outdoor comprehensive plan, the FDEP does a study and determines an average provision and this is the way to benchmark against what the rest of the State is doing and is based on the City’s population, not regional. Commissioner Anderson agreed that this needs to be tested with our actual utilization. He believed they are short on multi-purpose fields and agreed it made sense to swap out baseball fields to make multi-purpose fields if they are not being fully utilized. Mayor
Strong commented that he was not ready to accept some of their data and was not sure he agreed with it.

New Business (Public):

1. Joan Cason, 1915 Woodcrest Drive, spoke against the way the Ethics Board appointee was handled this evening.

2. Lurline Fletcher, 790 Lyman Avenue, spoke about the community utilizing the parks fields and the need to prune the trees on the Westside.

New Business (City Commission):
No items.

The meeting adjourned at 8:08 p.m.

Mayor David C. Strong

ATTEST:

Cynthia S. Bonham, City Clerk