1 Administrative items

   A. Approval of the Meeting Minutes from 6-2-16

2 Action items

3 Informational items

   A. Denning Drive June 7th meeting
   B. CRA Budget for FY16-17

4 Public Comment

5 New business items

6 Adjournment

   A. CRA AB meeting – July 28

appeals & assistance

“If a person decides to appeal any decision made by the Commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he/she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.” (F. S. 286.0105).

“Persons with disabilities needing assistance to participate in any of these proceedings should contact the City Clerk’s Office (407-599-3277) at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.”
Subject: Item 1

Approval of Minutes

motion | recommendation

Motion to approve the June 2, 2016 meeting minutes is requested.

background

N/A

alternatives | other considerations

N/A

fiscal impact

N/A
Chairman Daniel Butts called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** Daniel Butts, Woody Woodall, Alex Trauger, Jeff Stephens, Javier Omana, David Morehead, and Tom Hiles

**BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:** Lance Decuir, Jeff Stephens

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Dori Stone, Kyle Dudgeon, Lindsey Hayes

**ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS:**

**Item A: Approval of the 04-28-16 Meeting minutes and the 05-26-16 Work Session Minutes**
Motion made by Woody Woodall, seconded by Javier Omana. Motion passed 6-0

**ACTION ITEMS:**

**Item A: CRA Budget for FY 2016-17**
Staff reviewed the proposed budget with the Board discussion each line item in detail and addressed questions/concerns from the Board.
Peter Schreyer, Crealde School of Art, addressed the Board and provided documentation to support his request to increase funding to the Hannibal Square Heritage Center to its original $40,000. Members of the Board expressed their strong support of the requested funding.
Staff suggested a discussion regarding additional unallocated funding be considered for Phase I of the New York Ave project. **Motion made by Woody Woodall, seconded by Javier Omana, to approve the CRA Budget for FY 2016-17 as presented.** Motion passed 6-0

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**
Staff reviewed information relating to the scheduled public meeting for Denning Drive on May 12. The consultant at the meeting reviewed information relative to design, identifying users of the street and lead a walking audit. The next scheduled public meeting will be on June 7 at the Winter Park Civic Center at 5:30 pm.

**Item A: Next CRA Meeting**
Next Board Meeting scheduled for June 23, 2016

**Item B: Denning Dr. Public Meeting**
Staff informed the Board of the upcoming Denning Dr. Public Meeting #2 at 5:30 p.m. at the Winter Park Civic Center.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

________________________
Chairperson, Daniel Butts

________________________
Board Liaison, Laura Neudorffer
Subject: Item 3A
Denning Drive public meetings

motion | recommendation

background

On June 7th, CRA staff and GAI Consultants completed the second of two public meetings on Denning Drive. The meeting revolved around four major points:

1. Review of the May 12th meeting
2. Roundtable discussion of data, concerns, and points of emphasis
3. Overview of design options (signs, materials, etc.)
4. Roundtable identification of potential conflict points

Staff was able to gather several pieces of information from the public at these meetings. They include but are not limited to:

- Maintain 30 mph travel time
- Provide accessibility for and around bus travel
- Safety conflicts between walkers, bikers, buses and cars need to be addressed.
- Current crosswalks aren’t adequate especially for special needs users.
- Buses create visual conflicts that concern everyone using the road.
- The need for recognized mid-block crossings and safety zones/medians should be addressed in a new redesign.
- Landscaping is important (trees, aesthetics).
- There is an opportunity for improvements to the park side (wider sidewalks) that could be considered to enhance the corridor and accessibility.
- The need for safe sidewalks (buffer area) is important.
• Identification of context sensitive design opportunities (road connections, inclusion of users, etc.)

Staff will bring forward all findings from the Denning Drive analysis at the June 27th CRA Agency meeting.
Case Study: Edgewater
- New Library: Pedestrians
- Trees/Landscaping in Public Realm

Commercial Traffic
- Esp. N End Neighborhood
  - RR CLEEPASS Issue
- Impact to N Side Area
  - Traffic to 17-92
  - Change in Character @ Solana
  - Eastside Mixed Use Trail
    - Think: Railroad Height
    - Route-City Request for Light Replacement 17-92
    - More Crosswalks
    - Move Western Sidewalk

Opportunities
- Traffic Int. + transition to slow sidewalk

Bus Drop off/pick up
- Timing of Crosswalk Lights
  - Cut throughs problematic?
- Participation of more traffic when, where, how
  - From Lee Rd?
- Are we trying too much on one RS?
  - Turning Lanes and how long is the lead?
- Bus stops/transfers
- N/S Bike Routes
- Bus stop shelters!
- Webster area is major concern
- Physical barrier at Dunlap-Saltonstall at RR Tracks

Disney Buses:
- Traffic on I-4
- 17-92 Impact on Denning
- Buses on Denning
- What is capacity on Denning w/ 3-lanes?
SUNNY SIDE EXTENSION
- MJR. THOROUGHFARE
- TOO MUCH TRAFFIC

- Too Hot for Peds - more trees!
- Keep Street the same.
- It's a done deal. What we say doesn't matter.
- Too many bus stops along the street. - Bus lane.
- Plan for Denning must co-exist with north of Webster.
- Flooding issues near Valencia.
- Keep a 4-Lane + widen sidewalk.
- What is the financial cost of each option? That matters.
- Disney banning Buses on Res part of Denning.

2-WAY TRAFFIC (1 Lane each)
- Pedestrian SAFETY
- Crosswalks - Merging
- Accessibility
- Modes
  - Buses
  - Bikes
  - Autos
- Pedestrians
  - Freight

Funding Flexibility

PREMATURE - CAN WE COME IN?

COMPETING PROJECTS
- How to route traffic off of 17-92
- Smooth out Denning, complete streets.

WHAT IS THE IDENTITY
- How do you connect to a "system" NOT just Denning
- Wholesome approach.

CONTEXT:
- SENIOR LIVING
- REAL
- Denning being 17-92
- Context time vs systems time
- Uses Free Ideas:
  - Pedestrian
  - Residential
  - Buffered Bike Lanes

X: 0.5 Y: 0.5
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Subject: Item 3B

CRA Budget FY16-17

motion | recommendation

background

At the June 2 CRA Advisory Board meeting, the board approved the FY16-17 budget with a 6-0 vote. At that time, it was discussed that the remaining funding from additional revenue would be discussed at both future CRA Advisory Board and Agency meetings.

Attached is the current 5 year capital improvement plan for the board to discuss potential funding of present/future projects and programs.
### Proposed Winter Park CRA 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan

**Capital Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$535,648</td>
<td>$1,285,648</td>
<td>$1,842,183</td>
<td>$1,360,000</td>
<td>$5,445,831</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Events**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter in the Park</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$1,360,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popcorn Flicks</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Patricks Day Parade</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programs & Maintenance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer Youth Employment</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center Programs</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellbourne Day</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage Center Operation</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP Playhouse</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Scale CRA Improvements</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microloan Program (funded)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Maintenance of Parking Garages</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$569,159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Façade Grant</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$190,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sign Replacement Grant</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driveway Renovation Grant</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Rehabilitation Grant</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$188,998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Support Grant</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint Only Grant</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff &amp; Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$380,845</td>
<td>$302,313</td>
<td>$312,894</td>
<td>$323,845</td>
<td>$335,180</td>
<td>$346,911</td>
<td>$1,783,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operating</td>
<td>$74,844</td>
<td>$79,240</td>
<td>$86,774</td>
<td>$91,377</td>
<td>$98,148</td>
<td>$103,872</td>
<td>$503,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual Services</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$53,323</td>
<td>$42,611</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$351,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$1,494,053</td>
<td>$1,493,552</td>
<td>$1,496,649</td>
<td>$1,483,491</td>
<td>$1,489,029</td>
<td>$1,489,029</td>
<td>$7,466,123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revenue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIF Revenue - City</td>
<td>$1,390,428</td>
<td>$1,747,641</td>
<td>$1,835,010</td>
<td>$1,926,761</td>
<td>$2,023,099</td>
<td>$2,124,254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIF Revenue - County</td>
<td>$1,506,764</td>
<td>$1,893,865</td>
<td>$1,988,544</td>
<td>$2,087,971</td>
<td>$2,192,370</td>
<td>$2,301,989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Operating</td>
<td>$74,844</td>
<td>$79,240</td>
<td>$86,774</td>
<td>$91,377</td>
<td>$98,148</td>
<td>$103,872</td>
<td>$503,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual Services</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$53,323</td>
<td>$42,611</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$351,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$1,494,053</td>
<td>$1,493,552</td>
<td>$1,496,649</td>
<td>$1,483,491</td>
<td>$1,489,029</td>
<td>$1,489,029</td>
<td>$7,466,123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumption:** TIF year revenues to increase based on FY 2017 Budget Template. CIP Plan is not intended to replace budget for total revenue/expenditure values.